

From: Ernie12237@aol.com@inetgw
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/15/02 8:35pm
Subject: Microsoft settlement

This case against Microsoft doesn't make much sense to me.

Compare it to Ford and General Motors. Lets say Microsoft is General Motors who makes a very good pickup truck and is equipped with a very good Chevy engine. Prior to this Ford had a better engine but the GM engine now out performs the Ford. Now here comes Ford who thinks it is unfair that GM is selling so many more units with the Chevy engine than Ford sells so they get the Government to try to force GM to put a Ford engine in the GM pickup. What would DOJ do in a case like I just explained?

Microsoft does not have a monopoly, they just build a better product. No one says another company cannot design something better but if they did, people would buy it. Computer users want the best regardless of who makes it. If people want to buy a Chevy pickup, they want a Chevy engine in it, not a Ford.

If this settlement is supported, it will smell of politics supported by only the lawyers that are pushing it and the outlandish fees they will reap.

Ernie Aufenkamp
Mission, TX